

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,805	01/25/2008	George Bowen	02867	4634
987 SALTER & M	7590 08/05/201 HCHAFLSON	EXAM	EXAMINER	
THE HERITAGE BUILDING			MORROW, JASON S	
	MAIN STREET E. RI 029037128	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3612	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/05/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ssullivan@saltermichaelson.com pblackwell@saltermichaelson.com gkelly@saltermichaelson.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/588,805	BOWEN, GEORGE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jason S. Morrow	3612		

•	LAGIIIIICI	Altoint					
	Jason S. Morrow	3612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory period with the provision of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maining aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(40).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	→						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 2.4.8.20-25.27 and 28 is/are pending	in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4.8.20,21,23-25,27 and 28</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 22 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/Sb/08)	5) Notice of Informal F						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/588,805 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2, 4, 8, 20, 21, 25, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaus et al. (US Patent 6,527,327) in view of Japanese Publication 4-60726.

Gaus et al. discloses a rest (2) for use in a vehicle comprising a housing having a sloping upper face (14) and means (9) for adjusting the position of the housing relative to the vehicle. The housing defines a storage space (19) and has a pivotal lid (14) which provides the sloping upper face and may be pivoted between an open position (shown in figure 5) allowing access to the storage space and a closed position. The lid has an angled cross-section (a cross section of the lid includes angles at each corner of the cross section). The lid has first and second contiguous, but differently sloping face surfaces including a first surface (the top surface of the lid) and a second surface (the side edge of the lid that is perpendicular to the top surface of the lid and furthest from the pivot). Only the first surface is a rest surface. The first surface has a free end directed toward the user.

Gaus et al. does not disclose the open position of the lid allowing access to the storage space and at the same time providing a support for the calves of a user.

Japanese Publication 4-60726 teaches an open position of a lid allowing access to a storage space and at the same time providing support for the calves of a user by propping the lid

Application/Control Number: 10/588,805

Art Unit: 3612

open (see the paragraph before the "Effects of the Utility Model" heading in applicant's provided translation of the reference).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a lid, such as that disclosed by Gaus et al., to have the open position of the lid allow access to the storage space and at the same time provide a support for the calves of a user, as taught by Japanese Publication 4-60726, in order to allow for more adjustment that allows the user of the device to put it in a more comfortable configuration.

Gaus et al. and Japanese Publication 4-60726 do not disclose a layer of carpet on the sloping upper face.

The examiner takes Official Notice that the use of carpet in vehicles is old and well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a sloping upper surface of a foot rest, such as that disclosed above, to have a layer of carpet, as is old and well known in the art, in order to finish the device in aesthetically pleasing way that matches the floor of a vehicle in which the footrest is used.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,805

Art Unit: 3612

 Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaus et al. (US Patent 6,527,327) and Japanese Publication 4-60726 in view of Holweg et al. (4,275,914).

Gaus and Japanese Publication 4-60726 discloses all the limitations of the claims, as applied above, except for the a motorized rack and pinion arrangement, the arrangement operative to move the housing on a pair of rails adapted to be fixed to the floor of the vehicle, and an electric motor.

Holweg et al. teaches a motorized rack and pinion arrangement (25, 24), the arrangement operative to move a device on a pair of rails adapted to be fixed to the floor of a vehicle, and an electric motor (16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the footrest of Gaus with a motorized rack and pinion arrangement, the arrangement operative to move the housing on a pair of rails adapted to be fixed to the floor of the vehicle, and an electric motor, because the technique of providing a powered system for moving a vehicle appurtenance is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art and applying the technique taught by Holweg et al. to the device of Gaus et al. does no more than yielding the predictable result of allowing the footrest to be further adjusted for passenger comfort.

Allowable Subject Matter

 Claim 22 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 5/20/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The examiner appreciates applicant's attempt in the response to place the claims in condition for allowance. However, in amending the claims to overcome the 112 2nd paragraph rejection of claim 21, the claims became slightly broader and thus necessitated the rejection above. The examiner attempted to contact applicant's representative on June 29, June 30, and August 2 in order to suggest adding the word "top" between the words "sloping face" in line 12 of claim 21 to overcome the rejection above, but was unable to reach the representative.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this
Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).
Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason S. Morrow whose telephone number is (571) 272-6663.
 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00a.m.-4:30p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (571) 272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jason S. Morrow/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3612

August 2, 2010